

**USDC SDNY**  
**DOCUMENT**  
**ELECTRONICALLY FILED**  
**DOC #:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**DATE FILED:** 12/19/2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ALASKA ELECTRICAL PENSION FUND;  
GENESEE COUNTY EMPLOYEES'  
RETIREMENT SYSTEM; COUNTY OF  
MONTGOMERY, PENNSYLVANIA;  
COUNTY OF WASHINGTON,  
PENNSYLVANIA; and CITY OF NEW  
BRITAIN, CONNECTICUT, on behalf of  
themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION;  
BARCLAYS BANK PLC; B.N.P. PARIBAS  
SA; CITIGROUP INC.; CREDIT SUISSE  
AG, NEW YORK BRANCH; DEUTSCHE  
BANK AG; THE GOLDMAN SACHS  
GROUP, INC.; HSBC BANK PLC; ICAP  
CAPITAL MARKETS LLC; JPMORGAN  
CHASE & CO.; MORGAN STANLEY & CO.  
LLC; NOMURA SECURITIES  
INTERNATIONAL, INC.; ROYAL BANK  
OF SCOTLAND PLC; UBS AG; and WELLS  
FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Defendants.

Case Nos.: 14-cv-7126 (JMF)  
14-cv-7907 (JMF)  
14-cv-8342 (JMF)  
14-cv-8365 (JMF)  
14-cv-8576 (JMF)

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING  
THE GOLDMAN SACHS SETTLEMENT  
AGREEMENT, CERTIFYING THE  
SETTLEMENT CLASS, AND APPOINTING  
CLASS COUNSEL AND CLASS  
REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE  
SETTLEMENT CLASS

Hon. Jesse M. Furman

WHEREAS, the Action<sup>1</sup> is pending before this Court;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs<sup>2</sup> have entered into and executed Stipulations and Agreements of Settlement with Bank of America N.A. (“Bank of America”); Barclays Bank PLC and Barclays Capital Inc. (“Barclays”); Citigroup Inc. (“Citigroup”); Credit Suisse AG, New York Branch (“Credit Suisse”); Deutsche Bank AG (“Deutsche Bank”); JPMorgan Chase & Co. (“JPMorgan”); and Royal Bank of Scotland PLC (“RBS”), which the Court preliminarily approved on May 11, 2016 (Docket No. 228) (the “May 2016 Order”);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have additionally entered into and executed an Agreement of Settlement (the “Settlement Agreement”) with The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (the “Settling Defendant” and together with Plaintiffs, the “Settling Parties”), which, if finally approved by the Court, will result in the settlement of all claims against the Settling Defendant;

WHEREAS, in full and final settlement of the claims asserted against it in this Action, the Settling Defendant has agreed to pay \$56,500,000 (the “Settlement Fund”), and to provide discovery that is likely to assist with the continued prosecution of the Action as set forth in the Settlement Agreement;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs, having made an application, pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for an order preliminarily approving the Settlement Agreement, which sets forth the terms and conditions of the Settlement of the Action against the Settling Defendant and for dismissal of the Action against the Settling Defendant with prejudice upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have sought, and the Settling Defendant has agreed not to object to, the certification of the Settlement Class (as defined below) solely for settlement purposes;

---

<sup>1</sup> As defined in the Settlement Agreement, the “Action” means the above-captioned litigation pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and any other actions that may be transferred or consolidated into this litigation.

<sup>2</sup> As defined in the Settlement Agreement, “Plaintiffs” are Alaska Electrical Pension Fund; County of Beaver, Pennsylvania; City of New Britain, Connecticut; Genesee County Employees’ Retirement System; County of Montgomery, Pennsylvania; and County of Washington, Pennsylvania.

WHEREAS, the Court has preliminarily appointed, solely for settlement purposes, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP; Scott+Scott, Attorneys at Law, LLP; and Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP (“Lead Counsel”) as settlement class counsel for the Settlement Class, as defined in the May 2016 Order, and Plaintiffs have requested such appointment be extended to this settlement;

WHEREAS, the Court has preliminarily appointed, solely for settlement purposes, Alaska Electrical Pension Fund; City of New Britain, Connecticut; Genesee County Employees’ Retirement System; County of Montgomery, Pennsylvania; and County of Washington, Pennsylvania as class representatives of the Settlement Class, as defined in the May 2016 Order, and Plaintiffs have requested such appointment be extended to this settlement;

WHEREAS, the Settling Parties have agreed to the entry of this Order Preliminarily Approving the Settlement Agreement, Certifying the Settlement Class, and Appointing Class Counsel and Class Representatives for the Settlement Class (the “Order”); and

WHEREAS the Court has read and considered the Settlement Agreement and the exhibits annexed thereto and other documents submitted in connection with Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement Agreement with The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., and good cause appearing therefor;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. All terms in initial capitalization used in this Order shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise noted or defined herein.

**I. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

2. Upon review of the record, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement resulted from arm’s-length negotiations between highly experienced counsel and falls within the range of possible approval. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement, subject to further consideration at the Fairness Hearing described below. The Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement encompassed by the Settlement Agreement raises no obvious reasons to doubt its fairness and provides a reasonable basis for presuming that the

Settlement Agreement satisfies the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process so that an appropriate notice of the Settlement Agreement should be given, subject to the Court's approval of a notice plan as provided in this Order.

## **II. PRELIMINARY CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS**

3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court preliminarily certifies, solely for settlement purposes, a Settlement Class defined as follows:

all Persons or entities who entered into, received or made payments on, terminated, transacted in, or held an ISDAfix Instrument during the Settlement Class Period. Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Settling Defendant and its employees, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, and co-conspirators, should any exist, whether or not named in the Amended Complaint, and the United States Government, and all of the Released Goldman Parties, provided, however, that Investment Vehicles shall not be excluded from the definition of the Settlement Class.

4. For the avoidance of doubt, the Settlement Class as defined in the May 2016 Order shall hereinafter include the above Settlement Class.

5. As stated in the May 2016 Order, solely for purposes of settlement, the Court preliminarily finds that the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3) have been satisfied, as follows: (a) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder of all members of the Settlement Class is impracticable; (b) questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, considering (i) the interests of members of the Settlement Class in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions; (ii) the extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already begun by members of the Settlement Class; (iii) the desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation of these claims in this particular forum; and (iv) the likely difficulties in managing this Action as a class action.

6. If the Effective Date does not occur with respect to the Settlement Agreement because of the failure of a condition that affects the Settlement Agreement, this conditional certification of the Settlement Class shall be deemed null and void as to the Settling Parties subject to the Settlement Agreement without the need for further action by the Court or any of the Settling Parties. In such circumstances, each of the Settling Parties shall retain their rights to seek or to object to certification of this litigation as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or under any other state or federal rule, statute, law, or provision thereof, and to contest and appeal any grant or denial of certification in this litigation or in any other litigation on any other grounds.

### **III. CLASS COUNSEL AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVES**

7. The preliminary appointment of Lead Counsel for the Settlement Class in the May 2016 Order, solely for settlement purposes, is extended to this settlement.

8. The preliminary appointment of Alaska Electrical Pension Fund; City of New Britain, Connecticut; Genesee County Employees' Retirement System; County of Montgomery, Pennsylvania; and County of Washington, Pennsylvania, in the May 2016 Order, solely for settlement purposes, as class representatives for the Settlement Class, is extended to this settlement.

### **IV. PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION, NOTICE, AND FAIRNESS HEARING**

9. At a later date after the Settling Defendant produces a list of names and addresses of members of the Settlement Class and transaction data pursuant to Paragraphs 8.2 and 11.4(a) of the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs shall submit for the Court's approval a proposed Plan of Allocation of the Aggregate Settlement Funds, as defined in the May 2016 Order and including the Settlement Fund (and including all interest and income earned thereon after being transferred to the Escrow Account).

10. At a later date after the Settling Defendant produces a list of names and addresses of members of the Settlement Class and transaction data pursuant to Paragraphs 8.2 and 11.4(a) of the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs shall submit for the Court's approval a proposed notice plan

for purposes of advising members of the Settlement Class, among other things, of their right to object to the Settlement Agreement, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class, the procedure for submitting a request for exclusion, the time, date, and location of the Settlement Hearing to be scheduled by the Court, and their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing.

11. At or after the Settlement Hearing, the Court shall determine whether the Settlement Agreement, the proposed Plan of Distribution, any application for service awards, and any application for attorneys' fees and/or expenses for Plaintiffs' Counsel should be finally approved.

#### **V. OTHER PROVISIONS**

12. The notice requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §1715, have been met.

13. The Court's approval of Plaintiffs' designation of Epiq Systems, Inc. as the Claims Administrator in the May 2016 Order is extended to this settlement. Absent further order of the Court, the Claims Administrator shall have such duties and responsibilities as are set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

14. The Court's appointment of Lead Counsel as Escrow Agent in the May 2016 Order is extended to this settlement. Absent further order of the Court, the Escrow Agent shall establish the Escrow Account at Citibank, N.A. and have such duties and responsibilities in such capacity as are set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court approves the establishment of an escrow account under the Settlement Agreement as a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") pursuant to Internal Revenue Code §468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, and retains continuing jurisdiction as to any issue that may arise in connection with the formulation or administration of the QSF. All funds held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed and considered to be in *custodia legis*, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as such funds shall be distributed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and further order(s) of the Court.

16. All reasonable expenses incurred in identifying and notifying potential Settlement Class Members as well as administering the Settlement Fund shall be paid, as set forth herein and

in Paragraph 3.9 of the Settlement Agreement, up to the sum of \$500,000. In the event the Court does not approve the Settlement Agreement, or if the Settlement Agreement otherwise fails to become effective, neither Plaintiffs nor any of their counsel shall have any obligation to repay any amounts incurred or disbursed pursuant to Paragraph 3.9 of the Settlement Agreement.

17. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated, is vacated, is not approved, or the Effective Date fails to occur for any reason, then the Settling Parties shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective status in the Action as of the Execution Date, and, except as otherwise expressly provided herein, such Parties shall proceed in all respects as if the Settlement Agreement and any related orders had not been entered, and the Settlement Agreement (including any amendment(s) thereto) and this Order shall be null and void, of no further force or effect, and without prejudice to such Settling Parties, and may not be introduced as evidence or referred to in any actions or proceedings by any Person; provided, however, that in the event of termination of the Settlement Agreement, Paragraphs 5.1, 10.5, and 11.3 of the Settlement Agreement shall nonetheless survive and continue to be of effect and have binding force. Any portion of the Settlement Fund previously paid by or on behalf of the Settling Defendant, together with any interest earned thereon (and, if applicable, re-payment of any Fee and Expense Award referred to in Paragraph 9.3 of the Settlement Agreement), less Taxes due, if any, with respect to such income, and less costs of administration and notice actually incurred and paid or payable from the Settlement Fund (not to exceed the sum of \$500,000 per Settling Defendant without the prior approval of the Court) shall be returned to the Settling Defendant within five (5) business days after written notification of such event is sent by counsel for the Settling Defendant or Lead Counsel to the Escrow Agent. At the request of the Settling Defendant, the Escrow Agent shall apply for any tax refund owed on the Settlement Fund and pay the proceeds to the Settling Defendant.

18. The Court's certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any non-settling Defendant to contest certification of any non-settlement class proposed in this Action. The Court's findings in this Order shall have no

effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any non-settlement class in this Action; and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of any Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any non-settlement class or any other dispositive motion filed by a non-settling Defendant. Nor shall such preliminary approval prejudice any rights, claims, or defenses of any non-settling Defendant.

19. The Settling Defendant has denied wrongdoing or liability in connection with the allegations in the Action. As such, nothing in the Settlement Agreement constitutes an admission by the Settling Defendant as to the merits of the allegations made in the Action, the validity of any defenses that could be or have been asserted, or the appropriateness of certification of any class other than the Class under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 for purposes of settlement only.

20. All proceedings in the Action with respect to the Settling Defendant are stayed until further order of the Court. Such stay does not apply, however, to the extent actions are necessary to implement the terms of the Settlement Agreement or comply with its terms. **Unless and until the Court orders otherwise, Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendant shall comply with any and all deadlines previously set with respect to the settlements preliminarily approved in the May 2016 Order. (See, e.g., Docket No. 312).**


21. All Class Members shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in the Action concerning the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, whether favorable or unfavorable to the Settlement Class.

22. Any member of the Settlement Class may enter an appearance in the Action, at his, her, or its own expense, individually or through counsel of his, her, or its own choice. Any member of the Settlement Class who does not enter an appearance will be represented by Lead Counsel.

23. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate Docket No. 329.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 19, 2016  
New York, New York

  
HON. JESSE M. FURMAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE